IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Richmond Division

ePLUS INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil Action No. 3:09cv620 (REP)
LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,)
Defendant.)

DEFENDANT LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.'S REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CLARIFY ORDER

Defendant Lawson Software, Inc. ("Lawson"), by counsel, states as follows for its reply memorandum in support of its motion requesting that the Court clarify its Order entered July 23, 2010 on Lawson's Motion in Limine No. 6 to Exclude Evidence of Publications Related to ePlus's Patent Enforcement Efforts, Litigation and Settlement Agreements [Dkt. No. 361]:

ARGUMENT

As it has repeatedly done, ePlus deliberately mistitles its opposition memorandum for the purpose of distorting Lawson's motion and conveniently ignores the Court's grounds for denying Lawson's Motion in Limine No. 6 as moot. Lawson's motion does not seek reconsideration of the Court's ruling on Motion in Limine No. 6. Rather, Lawson simply asks the Court to clarify its ruling that the motion was moot because of the Court's denial of Lawson's Motion in Limine No. 4, when in fact the Court granted Lawson's Motion in Limine No. 4. Second, ePlus incorrectly asserts that the Court's summary judgment ruling rejected the arguments raised in Lawson's Motion in Limine No. 6. In truth, the Court's summary judgment ruling does not contain any evidentiary rulings, including any ruling regarding the inadmissible hearsay documents identified in Lawson's Motion in Limine No. 6.

ePlus is forced to make these straw man arguments because it cannot otherwise challenge

the grounds for Lawson's Motion of Clarification. ePlus does not contest that the Order denying

Motion in Limine No. 6 as most incorrectly states that Motion in Limine No. 4 regarding Mr.

Manbeck was denied. ePlus also does not contest that, regardless of the ruling on Mr.

Manbeck's testimony, the admissibility of the evidence that is the subject of Motion in Limine

No. 6 is not moot. ePlus does not set forth any foundation for the admission of that evidence nor

does it contest Lawson's showing that such evidence is both irrelevant and unfairly prejudicial.

For all those reasons, Lawson respectfully requests that the Court clarify its Order on Lawson's

Motion in Limine No. 6 and exclude the documents identified in that motion and any evidence of

ePlus's patent marking practices for the reasons stated in Lawson's memoranda previously filed

in support of that motion.

LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.

By_____/s/ Of Counsel

Dabney J. Carr, IV (VSB No. 28679)

Robert A. Angle (VSB No. 37691)

Megan C. Rahman (VSB No. 42678)

dabney.carr@troutmansanders.com

robert.angle@troutmansanders.com

megan.rahman@troutmansanders.com

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

1001 Haxall Point, Richmond, VA 23219

Telephone: (804) 697-1200

Facsimile: (804) 697-1339

2

Daniel McDonald (admitted *pro hac vice*)
William D. Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Rachel C. Hughey (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew J. Lagatta (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joshua P. Graham (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
3200 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street,

Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 332-5300

Telephone: (612) 332-5300 Facsimile: (612) 332-9081

Counsel for Defendant Lawson Software, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 26th day of July, 2010, a true copy of the foregoing was delivered by

electronic mail to the following:

Craig T. Merritt
Henry I. Willett, III
CHRISTIAN & BARTON, LLP
909 East Main Street, Suite 1200
Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095
cmerritt@cblaw.com
hwillett@cblaw.com

Scott L. Robertson
Jennifer A. Albert
David M. Young (VSB No. 35997)
Goodwin Procter, LLP
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
srobertson@goodwinprocter.com
dyoung@goodwinprocter.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

James D. Clements Goodwin Procter, LLP Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109-2881 jclements@goodwinprocter.com

/s/

Dabney J. Carr, IV (VSB No. 28679) Robert A. Angle (VSB No. 37691) Megan C. Rahman (VSB No. 42678) dabney.carr@troutmansanders.com robert.angle@troutmansanders.com megan.rahman@troutmansanders.com

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

1001 Haxall Point Richmond, VA 23219 Telephone: (804) 697-1200

Telephone: (804) 697-1200 Facsimile: (804) 697-1339

Counsel for Defendant Lawson Software, Inc.